

RAYMOND NARDO, P.C.  
Counsel for Plaintiff  
129 Third Street  
Mineola, NY 11501  
(516) 248-2121

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Rebecca A Corea,

Plaintiff,

--against--

Radius Global Solutions LLC,

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT**

**21-CV-3765**

Plaintiff, **Rebecca A Corea**, by counsel, RAYMOND NARDO, P.C., brings this action against Defendant **RADIUS GLOBAL SOLUTIONS LLC**, and alleges, as follows:

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. Plaintiff **Rebecca A Corea** brings this action to secure redress regarding unlawful collection practices engaged in by Defendant **Radius Global Solutions LLC**, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. (“FDCPA”).
2. Plaintiff’s legally protected and private information was wrongfully disclosed by Defendant to an unauthorized third-party, or third parties, causing injury to Plaintiff which can be redressed by an award of damages.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

4. Venue is proper in the **Eastern District of New York** because Defendant's collection letter was received by Plaintiff in the Eastern District of New York, and Plaintiff resides there.

## PARTIES

### Plaintiff

5. Plaintiff **Rebecca A Corea** is a natural person residing in Suffolk County, NY.

### Defendant

6. Defendant **Radius Global Solutions LLC** is a limited liability company organized under Minnesota law with its principal office at 7831 Glenroy Rd., Ste. 250, Edina, MN 55439.
7. Defendant does business in New York, and its registered agent and office is CT Corporation System, 28 Liberty St., New York, New York, 10005.
8. Defendant **Radius Global Solutions LLC** is a collection agency, collecting consumer debts for others and using the mails and telephone system for that purpose. It states on its web site that it is a "Proven Debt Collection Agency." (<https://www.radiusgs.com/solutions/account-recovery-debt-collection-services/>).
9. Upon information and belief, Defendant **Radius Global Solutions LLC** has hundreds of employees at multiple locations.
10. Defendant **Radius Global Solutions LLC** is a debt collector as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), because Defendant uses one or more instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mail, in a business whose principal purpose is the collection of any debts.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. This action arises out of Defendant's attempts to collect a consumer debt incurred by Plaintiff for personal, family or household purposes.

12. On or about **June 7, 2021**, Defendant **Radius Global Solutions LLC** caused a letter vendor to send Plaintiff the letter attached as Exhibit 1 for an account number ending with 8585. Defendant sent prior collection letters on that account.
13. The letter, or letters, contain a bar code and/or QR codes, which indicates that Defendant used a third-party to prepare, print, package, compile, and send the collection letter.
14. In order to have the letter vendor send Plaintiff the letter in Exhibit 1, Defendant had to furnish the letter vendor with (1) Plaintiff's name, (2) Plaintiff's address, (3) the existence of Plaintiff's consumer debt, (4) the amount of Plaintiff's consumer debt, (5) the creditor holding the consumer debt, and (6) information that Plaintiff did not pay, and/or defaulted, on this consumer debt.
15. The letter vendor then populated some, or all, of this information into a prewritten template, which was printed, and mailed, to Plaintiff.
16. The FDCPA defines "communication" at 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) as "the conveying of information regarding a debt directly or indirectly to any person through any medium."
17. The sending of an electronic file containing information about Plaintiff's debt to a letter vendor is a communication under the FDCPA.
18. Defendant's communication to the letter vendor was in connection with the collection of a debt since it involved disclosure of the debt to a third-party for the purpose of motivating Plaintiff, as a consumer, to pay the consumer debt.
19. Some of the information Defendant furnished to the letter vendor is legally protected from disclosure under the FDCPA.
20. Plaintiff never consented to disclose legally protected information about Plaintiff's consumer debt to any third parties.

21. In limiting disclosures to third parties, the FDCPA states, at 15 U.S.C. §1692c(b): “Except as provided in §1692b of this title, without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector, or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, or as reasonably necessary to effectuate a post judgment judicial remedy, a debt collector may not communicate, in connection with the collection of any debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector.”
22. The letter vendor used by Defendant as part of its debt collection effort against Plaintiff does not fall within any exception permitted in 15 U.S.C. §1692c(b).
23. Defendant communicated legally protected information about Plaintiff’s consumer debt to its third party letter vendor, which was not authorized by Plaintiff.
24. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendant transmitted the same information to other third-party entities by using skip trace services, bankruptcy, probate, and “scrubbing” services.
25. The communication of this legally protected information about Plaintiff’s consumer debt to a third party harmed Plaintiff, and Plaintiff’s reputation, by disclosing information about Plaintiff’s repayment of debts, truthfulness, solvency, and trustworthiness.
26. Defendant unlawfully communicated with the unauthorized third-party letter vendor solely for the purpose of generating profits, without regard to the propriety and privacy of legally protected information about Plaintiff’s consumer debt.
27. In its pursuit of profit, Defendant disregarded the known, negative effect of disclosing legally protected information about Plaintiff’s consumer debt to an unauthorized third-party.

**COUNT I  
(FDCPA)**

28. Plaintiff repeats each and every paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
29. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c(b) when it disclosed legally protected information about Plaintiff's debt to the employees of an unauthorized third-party letter vendor, and/or other third parties, in connection with the collection of a consumer debt.
30. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f by using unfair means in connection with the collection of a debt: to wit, disclosing personal and legally protected information about Plaintiff's consumer debt to unauthorized third parties under the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, the Court should enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for:

- i. Statutory and actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- ii. Costs and reasonable counsel fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- iii. Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: Mineola, NY  
July 5, 2021



RAYMOND NARDO, ESQ.  
129 Third Street  
Mineola, NY 11501  
(516)248-2121